

<b>RFQ NUMBER</b>	<b>RFQ/MICT/94/2025</b>
<b>RFQ DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>APPOINTMENT OF A SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT FACILITATOR TO DEVELOP A BANK OF EXTERNAL INTEGRATED SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS FOR THE REGISTERED OCCUPATIONAL PROGRAMMES WITHIN THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SUB-SECTOR</b>
<b>RFQ ISSUE DATE</b>	<b>26 January 2026</b>
<b>BRIEFING SESSION</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>EXTENDED CLOSING DATE</b>	<b>04 February 2026 @ 11:00 AM South African Time, RFQ submitted after the stipulated closing date and time will not be considered.</b>
<b>LOCATION FOR SUBMISSIONS</b>	<b><a href="mailto:rfqs@mict.org.za">rfqs@mict.org.za</a></b>
<b>NO: OF DOCUMENTS</b>	<b>1 SOFT COPY</b>

For queries, please contact [rfqs@mict.org.za](mailto:rfqs@mict.org.za) before the closing date of this RFQ.

The MICT SETA requests your quotation on the services listed above. Please furnish us with all the information as requested and return your quotation on the date and time stipulated above.

**Late and incomplete submissions will invalidate the quote submitted.**

SUPPLIER NAME:

The ETD Specialists

NATIONAL TREASURY (CSD) SUPPLIER NUMBER: MAA0059403

POSTAL ADDRESS:

11 Theo Park, Pieter Botha Ave, Pierre van Ryneveld, 0157

TELEPHONE NO:

012 662 1473

E MAIL ADDRESS:

evelyn@etds.co.za


CONTACT PERSON:

Evelyn Salisbury-Stegmann

CELL NO:

082 561 2957

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:



### **SUPPLIER REGISTRATION ON CSD**

Prospective suppliers must register on the National Treasury Central Supplier database in terms of National Treasury circular no 4A of 2016/17. The bidder shall register prior submitting a proposal/bid.

## RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS CHECKLIST

**Request For Quotation invitation document must be completed, signed and submitted as a whole** by the authorised Company representative. All forms must be properly completed, list below serve as a checklist of your RFQ submission.

(**Tick in the relevant block below**)

DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
CSD Central Supplier Database (CSD) Registration Report	✓	
Pricing Schedule	✓	
Valid Tax Clearance Certificate (S) and or proof of application endorsed by <b>SARS</b> and/or <b>SARS-issued</b> verification pin	✓	
<b>SBD 4 – Bidder's Disclosure</b>	✓	
<b>SBD 6.1 - Preferential Procurement Claim Form</b>	✓	
Certified Copy of director(s) ID(s) not older than (six) 6 months	✓	
CIPC Document	✓	
Shareholding Certificate		✓
<b>Bidder's eligibility: Form A</b>	✓	

**Note: This RFQ must be completed by the authorised company representative**

## MICT SETA –QUOTATION CONDITIONS

### 1. QUOTATION CONDITIONS

NOTE: Quotation for the supply of goods or services described in this document are invited in accordance with the provision of Government Procurement: General Conditions of Contract available for download from <http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/>

- a. **MICT SETA** does not bind itself to accept the lowest or any RFQ, nor shall it be responsible for or pay any expenses or losses which may be incurred by the bidder in the preparation and delivery of the RFQ.
- b. No RFQ shall be deemed to have been accepted unless and until a formal contract/letter of intent is prepared and executed.

#### 1.1 **MICT SETA** reserves the right to:

- a. Not evaluate and award RFQ that do not comply strictly with the requirements of this RFQ.
- b. Make a selection solely on the information received in the RFQs and Enter into negotiations with any one or more of the preferred bidder(s) based on the criteria specified in the evaluation of this RFQ.
- c. Contact any bidder during the evaluation process, in order to clarify any information, without informing any other bidders, and no change in the content of the RFQ shall be sought, offered, or permitted.
- d. Award a contract to one or more bidder(s).
- e. Withdraw the RFQ at any stage
- f. Accept a separate RFQ or any RFQ in part or full at its own discretion.
- g. Cancel this RFQ or any part thereof at any stage as prescribed in the PPPFA regulation.
- h. Select the bidder(s) for further negotiations based on the greatest benefit to MICT SETA and not necessarily on the basis of the lowest costs

### 2. COST OF BIDDING

The bidder shall bear all costs and expenses associated with the preparation and submission of its RFQ or RFQ, and the MICT SETA shall under no circumstances be responsible or liable for any such costs, regardless of, without limitation, the conduct or outcome of the bidding, evaluation, and selection processes.

## FORM A: BIDDER'S ELIGIBILITY FORM

Name of Bidder:	The ETD Specialists
RFQ Number:	RFQ/MICT/94/2025


We, the undersigned, offer to provide the required services in accordance with the above Request for quotation and hereby declare that our firm, persons, or its directors, including any JV/Consortium /Association members or subcontractors or suppliers for any part of the contract:

- a) is not under procurement prohibition by National Treasury, from doing business with the public sector,"
- b) have not declared bankruptcy, are not involved in bankruptcy or engaged in corrupt / fraudulent practices, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against them that could impair their operations in the foreseeable future;
- c) undertake not to engage in prescribed practices, including but not limited to corruption, fraud, coercion, collusion, obstruction, or any other unethical practice, with the MICT SETA or any other party, and to conduct business in a manner that averts any financial, operational, reputational or other undue risk to the MICT SETA.
- d) We declare that all the information and statements made in this Proposal are true and we accept that any misinterpretation or misrepresentation contained in this RFQ submission may lead to elimination of our RFQ submission.

Name: Evelyn Salisbury-Stegmann

Title: Director

Date: 29 January 2026

Signature: 

## **ANNEXURE A: TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **APPOINTMENT OF A SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT FACILITATOR TO DEVELOP A BANK OF EXTERNAL INTEGRATED SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS FOR THE REGISTERED OCCUPATIONAL PROGRAMMES WITHIN THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SUB-SECTOR**

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Media, Information and Communication Technologies Sector Education and Training Authority ("MICT SETA") is established in terms of section 9(1) of the Skills Development Act, 1998 (Act No. 97 of 1998), and is responsible for achieving South Africa's skills development and economic growth within the following five sub-sectors: advertising, film and electronic media, electronics, information technology and telecommunications.

#### **2. SCOPE OF WORK**

- 2.1. The MICT SETA seeks to appoint a suitably qualified and experienced service provider to develop an External Integrated Summative Assessment (EISA) in alignment with the Occupational Qualifications Sub-Framework (OQSF). The EISA will serve as a final external assessment to determine the integrated competence of learners who have completed the necessary learning components in accordance with OQSF requirements.
- 2.2. The list below provides the occupational programmes together with the required deliverables to be developed under the scope of this RFQ:

SAQA ID	Qualification Title	NQF Level	Credits
121587	Occupational Certificate: Telecommunications Specialist	NQF Level 5	161
122683	Higher Occupational Certificate: Wireless Telecommunications Technical Officer	NQF Level 5	227

- 2.3. The key deliverables in respect of this scope includes:

- 2.3.1. Facilitate the following workshops as part of the qualifications development process, along with the MICT SETA ETQA Division and industry role players (Subject Matter Experts):
  - a. **Conduct an in-depth analysis** of the occupational qualification, including its exit level outcomes, assessment criteria, and practical work components as defined by the OQSF.
  - b. **Develop a bank of assessment instruments** that include practical, theoretical, and workplace- based components, in alignment with OQSF standards.
  - c. **Create marking guidelines** for all assessment components to ensure objectivity and consistency.
  - d. **Develop model answers** for theoretical assessments and rubrics for practical assessments.
  - e. **Deliver final assessment instruments** in digital format, as well as any supporting documentation necessary for implementation.
- 2.3.2. Develop process report (and all other relevant evidence).
- 2.3.3. Document and develop a progress report
- 2.3.4. Coordinate, remediate and manage any input/comments and feedback from relevant role players.

#### **4. DURATION**

The scope is set to be concluded within 03 months, with the submission of all required documentation to the QCTO.

**NB: SERVICE PROVIDERS ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THEIR PROJECT PLAN**

## 5. PRICING SCHEDULE

**Name of bidder** The ETD Specialists

**RFQ number:** RFQ/MICT/94/2025

**Closing date** 2 February 2020 11:00

RFQ shall remain valid for acceptance for a period of **90 days** counted from the closing date.

**Bidders to provide further cost breakdown where necessary under each line item, and sub-total and the overall RFQ price (Total) should be included. The below table is for illustration only:**

Item	Required Description: APPOINTMENT OF A SUBJECTMATTER EXPERT TO DEVELOP LEARNING MATERIALS FOR THE REGISTERED OCCUPATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS IN THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND RELATED SUB-SECTOR	Quantity	Unit Price	Total Cost (Excl. VAT)
1	Occupational Certificate: Telecommunications Specialist	1	R 150, 000. 00	R 150, 000. 00
2	Higher Occupational Certificate: Wireless Telecommunications Technical Officer	1	R 150, 000. 00	R 150, 000. 00
3	Project Management: Coordinate and manage comments/remedial or feedback from QCTO <b>This is capped at 7,5% of the total project value</b>	1	R 5%	R 15, 000. 00
<b>Sub-Total</b>				R 315, 000. 00
<b>VAT@15%</b>				R 47, 250. 00
<b>TOTAL PRICE (INCLUDING VAT)</b>				R 362, 250. 00

Complete below:

1. Delivery Address: **MICT SETA Head office**  
**Level 3 West wing, Gallagher House**  
**19 Richards Drive, Halfway House**  
**Midrand**
2. Indicate Delivery period after order receipt.....**3 months**
3. Is delivery period fixed? **Yes** **No**
4. Is the price(s) fixed? **Yes** **No**
5. Is the quote strictly to specification? **Yes** **No**

I/We, the undersigned, agree that this bidding price shall remain binding on me/us and open for acceptance for the period stipulated above.

Authorised Company Representative: Evelyn Salisbury-Stegmann

Capacity under which this quote is signed: Director

Signature: 

Date: 29 January 2026

## 4. EVALUATION CRITERIA

MICT SETA complies with the provisions of the Public Finance Management Act, Act No. 1 of 1999 as amended; Treasury Regulations of 2005; the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, Act No. 5 of 2000; Preferential Procurement Regulations of 2022; and the MICT SETA Supply Chain Management (SCM) Policy.

RFQs received will be evaluated on mandatory evaluation and price and specific goals.

### 4.1. STAGE 1: MANDATORY CRITERIA

**Note: Bidders that do not meet the set evaluation criteria will be eliminated from further evaluation process.**

#### a. Experience of the Project Lead Consultant (PLC)

Bidders are required to submit a minimum of two (02) CVs of individuals who are eligible to serve as the Project Lead Consultant (PLC) with a minimum of three (03) years' experience in occupational programmes development.

##### Proof of Evidence:

CVs of the Project Lead Consultants (CV to include the names of the employers/clients worked for and duration of employment/contract).

#### b. Experience of the Bidder

Bidders are required to have experience in occupational programmes development.

##### Proof of Evidence:

Bidders must provide two (02) signed and dated contactable reference letters indicating experience in the development of occupational programme work conducted from different clients within the Republic of South Africa – the reference letters may be addressed to either the bidder or the PLC.

Reference letters must be on the client's letterhead and signed by the company representative. Contactable references must include the name of the company, contact details, project description, and the project(s) must have been undertaken in the last five (05) years.

**NB: SERVICE PROVIDERS ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THEIR PROJECT PLAN WHICH INCLUDES ESTIMATED TIMELINES AND THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.**

### 4.2. STAGE 2 PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS

Only bidder/s or RFQ submissions that have met the requirements of evaluation criteria will qualify for further evaluation on Price and Specific Goals according to the 80/20 preference point system in terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022, where 80 points will be for Price and 20 points will be for Specific Goals. RFQ will be awarded to the bidder scoring the highest points.

Specific Goal to be evaluated out of **20 Points**:

Criteria	Points
Enterprises which are at least 51% owned by historically disadvantaged persons.	10
Enterprises which are at least 51% owned by historically disadvantaged women.	5
Enterprises which are at least 51% owned by historically disadvantaged youth.	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

**\*\* Enterprises that are not owned by historically disadvantaged persons will be allocated 0 points.**

#### Bidder must submit the following documents:

- Certified ID copies of the company's directors as per the CIPC documents. (Certified copies must not be older than six (06) months).
- CIPC Documents and/or share certificate (for companies with more than one (01) Director).

**Failure on the part of a service provider to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this RFQ to claim points for specific goals, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed**

## BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

### 1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

### 2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest<sup>1</sup> in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES** **NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.1.2. Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES** **NO**

---

<sup>1</sup> the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....  
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....  
.....

### **3 DECLARATION**

I, the undersigned, (name) Evelyn Salisbury-Stegmann ..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium<sup>2</sup> will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.

---


<sup>2</sup> Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.

3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.



Signature

29 January 2026

Date

Director

Position

Evelyn Salisbury-Stegmann on behalf of The ETD Specialists

Name of bidder

## PREFERENCE PROCUREMENT CLAIM FORM

### PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

**NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022**

#### **1 GENERAL CONDITIONS**

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- b) the **80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

**1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
<b>Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS</b>	<b>100</b>

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to

preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

## 2 DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

### 3 FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

### 3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

### 3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad Ps = 90 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where:

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

P<sub>min</sub> = Price of lowest acceptable tender

### 3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

### 3.2.1 POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20 or 90/10

$$PS = 80 \left( 1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad PS = 90 \left( 1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

Where:

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

P<sub>max</sub> = Price of highest acceptable tender

#### 4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
- (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

**Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.**

**Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)**

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system)  (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system)  (To be completed by the tenderer)
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged persons.	10	
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged women.	05	5
Enterprise owned by historically disadvantaged youth.	05	

#### DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....The ETD Specialists.....

4.4. Company registration number: 2012 / 086434 / 07


4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- One-person business/sole property
- Close corporation
- Public Company
- Personal Liability Company
- (Pty) Limited
- Non-Profit Company
- State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
  - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
  - (b) recover costs, losses, or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
  - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
  - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders, and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
  - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.



SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

**SURNAME AND NAME:** Evelyn Salisbury-Stegmann

**DATE:** 29 January 2026

**ADDRESS:** 11 Theo Park, Pieter Botha Ave,  
Pierre van Ryneveld, 0157